

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

F.F., individually,	)	
and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	Case No.: 1:25-cv-9112
	)	
v.	)	Judge: Sharon Johnson Coleman
	)	
VALLEY VIEW COMMUNITY UNIT	)	
SCHOOL DISTRICT 365U,	)	
DR. KEITH WOOD, in his official capacity	)	
as Superintendent of Schools for the Valley View	)	
Community Unit School District 365U, and	)	
DR. JASON PASCAVAGE, in his official capacity	)	
as Principal of Bolingbrook High School,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

PLAINTIFFS' SEVENTH CIRCUIT RULE 3(c) DOCKETING STATEMENT

Named plaintiff F.F., on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, submits this Seventh Circuit docketing statement with the notice of appeal in accordance with Seventh Circuit Rules 3(c)(1) and 28(a).

Circuit Rule 3 Information

1. There was a prior appellate proceeding in this case, which was dismissed on grounds of mootness: *F.F. v. Valley View Community Unit School District 365U*, No. 25-2735 (7th Cir. July 16, 2026).

2. Defendant Valley View Community Unit School District 365U is a political subdivision of the State of Illinois, responsible for operating and supervising public schools, including Bolingbrook High School, in Bolingbrook, Illinois, pursuant to various laws of the State

of Illinois, including but not limited to the Illinois School Code, 105 ILCS 5/10-1 *et seq.* Defendant Dr. Keith Wood is the Superintendent of Schools for the School District, with authority and responsibility for the administration and management of schools, including Bolingbrook High School, pursuant to 105 ILCS 5/10-21.4. Defendant Dr. Jason Pascavage is the Principal of Bolingbrook High School, with delegated authority and responsibility for its administration pursuant to 105 ILCS 5/10-21.4a. Both individual Defendants are sued in their official capacities.

3. Plaintiffs' counsel of record in this matter is Ajay Gupta.

Circuit Rule 28(a) Information

4. Plaintiffs' action arises under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988 to redress deprivations of rights secured by the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment and under Title IX, 20 U.S.C. §§ 1681–1688. The district court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction) and 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)(3) and (4) (civil rights jurisdiction), and authority to issue declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 57 and 65 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, and pursuant to its inherent equitable powers.

5. The United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit has jurisdiction over this appeal under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, which gives it jurisdiction over appeals from all final decisions of the district court.

6. Plaintiffs seek review of the district court's final judgment in favor of defendants entered on July 13, 2026 (ECF 62), which was based on the district court's memorandum opinion and order of the same date (ECF 61), dismissing the plaintiffs' complaint (ECF 1) for failure to state a claim. In an order of July 17, 2026, the district court "clarifie[d] that its dismissal . . . is with prejudice" (ECF 65).

7. Plaintiffs filed their notice of appeal on August 5, 2026 (ECF 68).

Dated: August 5, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ajay Gupta

Ajay Gupta

The Law Offices of Ajay Gupta

2849 Bond Circle

Naperville, Illinois 60563

(630) 854-7194

ajguptaemail@gmail.com

N.D. Ill. Bar No. 190101

*Counsel for Plaintiff F.F. and the Proposed
Class*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Ajay Gupta, an attorney, hereby certify that I served the foregoing Plaintiffs' Seventh Circuit Rule 3(c) Docketing Statement on all counsel of record on August 5, 2026, via the district court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Ajay Gupta
Ajay Gupta